

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/893,223 | 06/27/2001 | Shane M. Kelton | 163.1062USD1 | 5643 | |
| 23552 7: | 590 08/21/2002 | | | | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 2903 | 3 | | NGIVEN | NGUYEN, DUNG V | |
| MINNEAPOLI | IS, MN 55402-0903 | | NGOTEN, | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3723 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/893,223 | KELTON ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dung V Nguyen | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | r election requirement | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | = | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Info | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/893,223

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28, drawn to a system for cleaning a surface, classified in class
 451, subclass 87.
 - II. Claims 29-35, 37, 43 and 44, drawn to a method of cleaning and restoring a hard surface, classified in class 134, subclass 34.
 - III. Claims 36, 38-42, drawn to a tile floor surface, classified in class 52, subclass 177.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as sand blasting.
- 4. Invention I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04. MPEI § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are system for cleaning a surface and a coefficient of friction and elemental composition of a tile floor surface.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3723

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.
- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

DVN

August 14, 2002

Dung Van Nguyen Patent Examiner